$\beta$ may be obtained from Gershgorin's theorem. A method of obtaining lower bounds for the least positive eigenvalue of a certain type matrix is discussed in [5].
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# An Iterative Method for Computing the Generalized Inverse of an Arbitrary Matrix 

By Adi Ben-Israel

Abstract. The iterative process, $X_{n+1}=X_{n}\left(2 I-A X_{n}\right)$, for computing $A^{-1}$, is generalized to obtain the generalized inverse.

An iterative method for inverting a matrix, due to Schulz [1], is based on the convergence of the sequence of matrices, defined recursively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n+1}=X_{n}\left(2 I-A X_{n}\right) \quad(n=0,1, \cdots) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the inverse $A^{-1}$ of $A$, whenever $X_{0}$ approximates $A^{-1}$. In this note the process (1) is generalized to yield a sequence of matrices converging to $A^{+}$, the generalized inverse of $A$ [2].

Let $A$ denote an $m \times n$ complex matrix, $A^{*}$ its conjugate transpose, $P_{R(A)}$ the perpendicular projection of $E^{m}$ on the range of $A, P_{R\left(A^{*}\right)}$ the perpendicular projection of $E^{n}$ on the range of $A^{*}$, and $A^{+}$the generalized inverse of $A$.

Theorem. The sequence of matrices defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n+1}=X_{n}\left(2 P_{R(A)}-A X_{n}\right) \quad(n=0,1, \cdots) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $X_{0}$ is an $n \times m$ complex matrix satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
X_{0}=A^{*} B_{0} & \text { for some nonsingular } m \times m \text { matrix } B_{0} \\
X_{0}=C_{0} A^{*} & \text { for some nonsingular } n \times n \text { matrix } C_{0} \\
& \left\|A X_{0}-P_{R(A)}\right\|<1 \\
& \left\|X_{0} A-P_{R\left(A^{*}\right)}\right\|<1 \tag{6}
\end{array}
$$

converges to the generalized inverse $A^{+}$of $A .^{1}$
Proof. As in [3], the generalized inverse $A^{+}$is characterized as the unique solution of the matrix equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& A X=P_{R(A)}  \tag{7}\\
& X A=P_{R\left(A^{*}\right)} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus it suffices to prove that the sequence (2) satisfies:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|A X_{n}-P_{R(A)}\right\|=0  \tag{9}\\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|X_{n} A-P_{R\left(A^{*}\right)}\right\|=0 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

First we verify from (2), (3), (4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{n}=A^{*} B_{n}  \tag{11}\\
& X_{n}=C_{n} A^{*} \tag{12}
\end{align*} \quad(n=0,1, \cdots)
$$

(where $B_{n}, C_{n}$ are recursively computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{n+1} & =B_{n}\left(2 P_{R(A)}-A A^{*} B_{n}\right) \\
C_{n+1} & =C_{n}\left(2 P_{R\left(A^{*}\right)}-A^{*} A C_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

but are not used in the sequel).
Now, from (2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{R(A)}-A X_{n+1}=\left(P_{R(A)}-A X_{n}\right) P_{R(A)}-A X_{n}\left(P_{R(A)}-A X_{n}\right) ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

using (12), it follows that $A X_{n} P_{R(A)}=P_{R(A)} A X_{n}$.
Therefore

$$
P_{R(A)}-A X_{n+1}=\left(P_{R(A)}-A X_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{R(A)}-A X_{n+1}\right\| \leqq\left\|P_{R(A)}-A X_{n}\right\|^{2} \quad(n=0,1, \cdots) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by (5), proves (9).
To prove (10) we write

$$
P_{R\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}\right)}-X_{n+1} A=P_{R\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}\right)}-X_{n}\left(2 P_{R(A)}-A X_{n}\right) A
$$

which is rewritten, by (11), as
$\frac{P_{R\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)}-X_{n+1} A=P_{R\left(A^{*}\right)}-P_{R\left(A^{*}\right)} X_{n} A-X_{n} A+\left(X_{n} A\right)^{2}=\left(P_{R\left(A^{*}\right)}-X_{n} A\right)^{2} .}{{ }^{1}\| \| \text { is a multiplicative matrix norm }}$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{R\left(A^{*}\right)}-X_{n+1} A\right\| \leqq\left\|P_{R\left(A^{*}\right)}-X_{n} A\right\|^{2} \quad(n=0,1, \cdots) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by (6), proves (10).
Remarks. (i) Similarly, the sequence defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n+1}=\left(2 P_{R\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)}-X_{n} A\right) X_{n} \quad(n=0,1, \cdots) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $X_{0}$ satisfying (3), (4), (5), (6), converges to $A^{+}$.
(ii) When $A$ is nonsingular, both (2) and (16) reduce to the well-known process (1) due to Schulz [1], further studied by Dück in [4].
(iii) Conditions (5), (6) can not be weakened as shown by:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), . \quad P_{R(\Lambda)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and, taking

$$
X_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

which satisfies (3), (4) but $\left\|A X_{0}-P_{R(\Lambda)}\right\|=1$ under the sum-of-squares norm.
(iv) The practical significance of the process proposed here is impaired by the need for knowledge of $P_{R(\Lambda)}$. In fact, the direct computation of $A^{+}$requires little more than the computation of $P_{R(\Lambda)}$ and of $P_{R\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)}$, and not substantially more than the computation of one alone. For any matrix $A$ can be expressed in the form $A=F R^{*}$ where the columns of $F$ are linearly independent as are those of $R$. Then, as shown by Householder in [5],

$$
P_{R(\Lambda)}=F\left(F^{*} F\right)^{-1} F^{*}
$$

and

$$
P_{R\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)}=R\left(R^{*} R\right)^{-1} R^{*}
$$

whereas

$$
A^{+}=R\left(R^{*} R\right)^{-1}\left(F^{*} F\right)^{-1} F^{*}
$$

While only one of the projections $P_{R(\Lambda)}, P_{R\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)}$ is needed for the computation by the method proposed here, both are needed for testing (5) and (6).
(v) In the case where $A$ is of full rank, the method proposed here is applicable. For, if rank $A=m, P_{R(A)}=I_{m \times m}$ and (2) reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n+1}=X_{n}\left(2 I-A X_{n}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, $A^{+}=A^{*}\left(A A^{*}\right)^{-1}$ and, indeed, by (11), we verify that $X_{n}=A^{*} B_{n}$, where $B_{n}$ converges to $\left(A A^{*}\right)^{-1}$.

Similarly, if rank $A=n, P_{R\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)}=I_{n \times n}$ and (16) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n+1}=\left(2 I-X_{n} A\right) X_{n} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example. Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and take

$$
X_{0}=\frac{1}{2} A^{*}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here, formula (17) is used to obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{1} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left\{2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
X_{2} & =\frac{1}{16}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
10 & 5 \\
5 & 10 \\
-5 & 5
\end{array}\right), \\
X_{3} & =\frac{1}{256}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
170 & 85 \\
85 & 170 \\
-85 & 85
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { etc., }
\end{aligned}
$$

converging to:

$$
A^{+}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$
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## A Note on the Maximum Value of Determinants over the Complex Field

By C. H. Yang

The purpose of this note is to extend a theorem on determinants over the real field to the corresponding theorem over the complex field.

Theorem. Let $D(n)$ be an nth order determinant with complex numbers as its entries. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Max}_{\left|a_{j k}\right| \leqq K}|D(n)|=\operatorname{Max}_{\left|a_{j k}\right|=K}|D(n)| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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